

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 TARIQ AHMAD,

4 Plaintiffs,

5 v.

6 GRACO FISHING AND TOOLS,  
7 INC., et al.,

8 Defendants.

3:20-cv-0717-MMD-CLB

**ORDER**

9 *Pro se* Plaintiff filed a first amended complaint (ECF No. 22). The Court issued a  
10 notice regarding intention to dismiss pursuant Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) (ECF  
11 No. 34). Plaintiff has not provided proof of service on three of the named Defendants in his  
12 complaint: Graco Fishing and Tools, Inc.; Pacific Energy & Mining Co.; and Rod  
13 Anderson. (*Id.*)

14 After the court entered the Notice under Rule 4(m) referenced above, Plaintiff  
15 immediately filed a certificate of service, which was docketed as a "certificate of service for  
16 (ECF No. 34) Notice re 3m Dismissal." (ECF No. 35). Plaintiff states that his complaint  
17 was served by electronic mail on a person named Joseph Minnock who is not named as a  
18 defendant in his complaint (*Id.*). The certificate of service is not proper as it does not show  
19 the complaint was served pursuant to the requirements of Rule 4 on any of the three named  
20 Defendants in the 4(M) order. As such, after the 4(m) deadline, the Court will dismiss  
21 Graco Fishing and Tools, Inc., Pacific Energy & Mining Co. and Rod Anderson if plaintiff  
22 does not file a proof of service indicating proper service according to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4.

23 **DATED:** May 12, 2021.

24   
25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
26  
27